Why rspamd?

A real example
Rspamd in nutshell

- Uses multiple rules to evaluate messages scores
- Is written in C
- Uses event driven processing model
- Supports plugins in LUA
- Has self-contained management web interface
Design goals

• Orientation on the mass mail processing
• Performance is the cornerstone of the whole project
• State-of-art techniques to filter spam
• Prefer dynamic filters (statistics, hashes, DNS lists and so on) to static ones (plain regexp)
Part I: Architecture
Event driven processing

Never blocks*

• Pros:
  ✓ Can process rules while waiting for network services
  ✓ Can send all network requests simultaneously
  ✓ Can handle multiple messages within the same process

• Cons:
  🚫 Callbacks hell (hard development)
  🚫 Hard to limit memory usage due to unlimited concurrency

*almost all the time
Sequential processing
Traditional approach
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Event driven model
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Event driven model

What happens in the real life

- Rules
- DNS
- Hashes
- Wait
Event driven model

Some measurements

• Rspamd can send hundred thousands of DNS requests per second (RBL, URI blacklists, custom DNS lists): time: 5540.8ms real, 2427.4ms virtual, dns req: 120543

• For small messages (which are 99% of typical mail) network processing is hundreds times more expensive than direct processing: time: 996.140ms real, 22.000ms virtual,

• Event model scales very well allowing highest possible concurrency level within a single process (no locking is needed normally)
Real message processing

We need to go deeper
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Real message processing

We need to go deeper

- **Pre filters** are used to evaluate message or to reject/accept it early (e.g. greylisting)
- **Normal rules** add scores (positive or negative)
- **Post filters** combine rules and adjust scores if needed (e.g. composite rules)
- Normal rules can also depend on each other (additional waiting)
Main process
One to rule them all...

- Reads configuration
- Manages worker processes
- Listens on sockets
- Opens and reopen log files
- Handles dead workers
- Handles signals
- Reloads configuration
- Handle command line
Scanner process

- Scans messages and returns result
- Uses **HTTP** for operations
- Reply format is **JSON**
- Has **SA** compatibility protocol
Controller worker

• Provides data for web interface (acts as HTTP server for AJAX requests and serving static files)

• Is used to learn statistics and fuzzy hashes

• Has 3 levels of access:
  • **Trusted IP** addresses (both read and write)
  • **Normal** password* (read commands)
  • **Enable** password* (all commands)

* Passwords are encouraged to be stored encrypted using slow hash function
Service workers

- Are used by rspamd internally and usually have no external API
- The following types are defined:
  - **Fuzzy storage** — stores fuzzy hashes and is learned from the controller and accessed from scanners
  - **Lua worker** — LUA application server
  - **SMTP proxy** — SMTP balancing proxy with RBL filtering
  - **HTTP proxy** — balancing HTTP proxy with encryption support
Internal architecture

gmime → libserver → http-parser → libucl

aho-corasic → pcre → luajit

Results  Config
Statistics architecture

Bayes operations

• Uses sparsed 5-gramms

• Uses messages’ metadata (User-Agent, some specific headers)

• Uses inverse chi-square function to combine probabilities

• Weights of the tokens are based on theirs positions
Statistics benchmarks

Hard cases (images spam)

Spam trigger:
- Spam symbol: 95%
- Not detected: 5%

Ham trigger:
- Ham symbol: 92%
- Not detected: 8%
Statistics architecture
Bayes tokenisation

Quick brown fox jumps over lazy dog

1
2
3
4
1
2
Statistics architecture
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Fuzzy hashes

Overview

• Are used to match, not to classify a message

• Combine exact hashes (e.g. for images or attachments) with shingles fuzzy match for text

• Use sqlite3 for storage

• Expire hashes slowly

• Write to all storages, read from random one
Fuzzy hashes

Shingles algorithm

Quick brown fox jumps over lazy dog
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Fuzzy hashes

Shingles algorithm
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Fuzzy hashes

Shingles algorithm

• Probabilistic algorithm (due to min hash)
• Use sliding window for matching words
• $N$ siphash contexts with derived keys
• Derive subkeys using blake2 function
• Current settings: window size = 3, $N = 32$
Part II: Performance
Overview

• Rspamd is focused on performance
• No unnecessary rules are executed
• Memory is organised in memory pools
• All performance critical tasks are done by specialised finite-state-machines
• Approximate match is performed if possible
Rules optimisation

Global optimisations

• **Stop** processing when rejection score is hit

• Process **negative** rules first to avoid FP errors

• Execute **less expensive** rules first:
  
  • Evaluate rules average execution time, score and frequency
  
  • Apply greedy algorithm to reorder
  
  • Resort periodically
Rules optimisation

Local optimisations

- Each rule is additional optimised using abstract syntax tree (AST): 3-4 times speed up for large messages

- Each rule is split and reordered using the similar greedy algorithm

- Regular expressions are compiled using PCRE JIT (from 50% to 150% speed up usually)

- Lua is optimised using LuaJIT
AST optimisations

Branches cut

- 4/6 branches skipped

A = 0, B = 1, C = 0

Eval order
AST optimisations
N-ary optimisations

What do we compare?

Stop here

Eval order
Parsing FSM

- For the most of time consuming operations, rspamd uses special finite-state machines:
  - headers parsing;
  - received headers parsing;
  - protocol parsing;
  - URI parsing;
  - HTML parsing
- Prefer approximate matching, meaning extraction of the most important information and skipping less important details.
IP addresses storage

Traditional radix trie

Level per bit: 32 levels for IPv4
128 levels for IPv6
IP addresses storage

Prefix skipped radix trie
IP addresses storage
Prefix skipped radix trie

- Can efficiently compress IP prefixes
- Lookup is much faster due to lower trie depth
- IPv4 and IPv6 addresses can live within a single trie
- Insertion is also faster
- Algorithm is much harder but extensively tested
Library optimisations
Logger interface

- Universal logger for files/syslog/console
- Filters non-ascii (or non-utf8 if enabled) symbols
- Allows skipping of repeated messages
- Can disable processing in case of throttling
- Can handle both privileged and non-privileged reopening
Library optimisations
Printf interface

• Libc printf is slow and stupid

• Rspamd printf is inspired by nginx printf:
  • Supports fixed integers (int64_t, uint32_t)
  • Supports fixed length string (%v)
  • Supports encoded strings and numbers (human-readable, hex encoding, base64 and so on)
  • Supports various backends: fixed size buffers, automatically growing strings, files, console…

• Rspamd printf does not try to print input when output is overflowed (so it’s impossible to force it to use CPU resources for ridiculously large strings)
Library optimisations
String operations

• Fast base64/base32 operations:
  • **alignment** optimisations;
  • use loop **unwinding**;
  • use **64 bit** integers instead of characters

• Fast lowercase:
  • use the same optimisations for ASCII string
  • approximate lowercase for UTF8 (not 100% correct but much faster)

• Fast **lines counting**: [http://git.io/vYldq](http://git.io/vYldq)
Library optimisations

Generic tools

- Fast **hash** functions (*xxhash* and *blake2*)
- Fast **encryption** (using SIMD instructions if possible)
- Use **mmap** when possible
- **Align** memory for faster operations
- Use google performance tools to find bottlenecks
Part III: Security
Main points

• Maintaining secure coding is hard for C:
  • Prefer **fixed length** strings
  • **Avoid** insecure functions
  • **Abort** if malloc fails
  • **Assertions** on bad input
  • Testing (functional + unit testing)

• Main treats:
  • Interaction with **DNS**
  • Passive **snooping** of traffic
  • Specially crafted messages
DNS security

- DNS is the major point to interact with the external world
- There could be thousands requests per second
- DNS replies can be untrusted
- SPF records could be recursive
- DKIM records could be malformed
- Need local and global DNS requests limit
RDNS library

- Uses secure DNS ID generator based on crypto permutation and entropy reseeding
- Uses sockets pool with time/usage expiration
- Randomises source port
- Carefully filters input data (+IDN encoding)
Transport encryption

- Designed to be fast, simple and secure
- TLS is too hard to manage in events based model
- Many functions of TLS are useless for rspamd
- TLS involves intermediate copying and significant latency increase
HTTPCrypt in nutshell
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Performance analysis
Why HTTPCrypt is fast

- For new sessions, HTTPCrypt uses curve25519 ECDH which is almost twice faster than NIST P-256 ECDH
- There is no signing operation and no ECDSA
- For bulk encryption, there is no intermediate buffering like in TLS - the payload is encrypted in-place
- Latency is reduced by skipping the full TLS handshake
- Large requests are somehow slow due to lack of chunked encoding in HTTPCrypt implementation and some clever tricks of data reading
Hashes security

- Hash tables are vulnerable for untrusted data:
  - Rspamd randomly chooses hash tables seed at start that is hard to predict
  - \textit{XXHash} is used for good speed and hash distribution
  - \textit{Siphash} is used for public hash tables (e.g. fuzzy hashes)
  - It’s hard to predict hash seed, hence it’s hard to organise computational attack on hash tables
Part IV: Configuration
Configuration evolution

1. Grammar parser (lex + yacc)
   - Hard to manage
   - Hard to extend

2. XML
   - Unreadable
   - Problems with expressions (A &gt; B)

3. UCL - universal configuration language
   - Easy to manage (looks like nginx.conf)
   - Macro support
   - JSON data model (can be used as JSON parser)
UCL building blocks

- Sections
  ```
  section {
    key = "value";
    number = 10K;
  }
  ```

- Arrays
  ```
  upstreams = [
    "localhost:80",
    "example.com:8080",
  ];
  ```

- Variables
  ```
  static_dir = "${WWWDIR}/";
  filepath = "${CURDIR}/data";
  ```

- Macros
  ```
  .include "${CONFDIR}/workers.conf"
  .include (glob=true,priority=2) "${CONFDIR}/conf.d/* .conf"
  .lua { print("hey!"); }
  ```

- Comments
  ```
  key = value; // Single line comment
  /* Multiline comment
     * can also be nested */
  */
  ```
Configuration components

- Each component is normally included to the main configuration
  - `rspamd.local.conf` is used to **extend** configuration
  - `rspamd.override.conf` is used to **override** values in the configuration
  - It is possible to use numeric multipliers: “k/m/g” or “ms/s/m/h/d” for time values
Lua rules

- The most of rules are defined in LUA configuration

- Two types of LUA rules:
  - **Regexp** rules (look like strings)
  - **Lua** functions (pure LUA code)
Lua rules

Some examples

• Regexp rule

-- Outlook versions that should be excluded from summary rule
local fmo_excl_o3416 = 'X-Mailer=\Microsoft Outlook, Build 10.0.3416$H'
local fmo_excl_oe3790 = 'X-Mailer=\Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.3790.3959$H'
-- Summary rule for forged outlook
reconf['FORGED_MUA_OUTLOOK'] = string.format('(%s | %s) & !%s & !%s & !%s',
  forged_oe, forged_outlook_dollars, fmo_excl_o3416, fmo_excl_oe3790, vista_msgid)

• Lua rule

rspamd_config.R_EMPTY_IMAGE = function(task)
  local tp = task:get_text_parts() -- get text parts in a message

  for _,p in ipairs(tp) do -- iterate over text parts array using `ipairs`
    if p:is_html() then -- if the current part is html part
      local hc = p:get_html() -- we get HTML context
      local len = p:get_length() -- and part's length

      if len < 50 then -- if we have a part that has less than 50 bytes of text
        local images = hc:get_images() -- then we check for HTML images

        if images then -- if there are images
          for _,i in ipairs(images) do -- then iterate over images in the part
            if i['height'] + i['width'] >= 400 then -- if we have a large image
              return true -- add symbol
            end
          end
        end
      end
    end
  end
end
Pure LUA functions

Review

• Are very powerful

• Have access to all information from rspamd via lua API: [https://rspamd.com/doc/lua/](https://rspamd.com/doc/lua/)

• Are very fast since C <-> LUA interaction is cheap

• Can use zero-copy objects called `rspamd{text}` to avoid copying when moving data between C and LUA
Pure LUA functions

- **Variables:**

  ```lua
  local ret = false -- Generic variable
  local rules = {} -- Empty table
  local rspamd_logger = require "rspamd_logger" -- Load rspamd module
  ```

- **Conditionals:**

  ```lua
  if not ret then -- can use ‘not’, ‘and’, ‘or’ here
  ...
  elseif ret ~= 10 then -- note ~= for ‘not equal’ operator
  end
  ```

- **Loops:**

  ```lua
  for k,m in pairs(opts) do ... end -- Iterate over keyed table a[‘key’] = value
  for _,i in ipairs(images) do ... end -- Iterate over array table a[1] = value
  for i=1,10 do ... end -- Count from 1 to 10
  ```

- **Tables:**

  ```lua
  local options = { [1] = ‘value’, ['key'] = 1, -- Numbers starts from 1
  another_key = function(task) ... end, -- Functions can be values
  [2] = {} -- Other tables can be values
  } -- Can have both numbers and strings as key and anything as values
  ```

- **Functions:**

  ```lua
  local function something(task) -- Normal definition
  local cb = function(data) -- Functions can be nested
  ...
  end
  end
  ```

- **Closures:**

  ```lua
  local function gen_closure(option)
  local ret = false -- Local variable
  return function(task)
  task:do_something(ret, option) -- Both ‘ret’ and ‘option’ are accessible here
  end
  end
  rspamd_config.SYMBOL = gen_closure(‘some_option’)
  ```
Pure LUA functions

Generic recommendations

• Use `local` whenever possible (otherwise, global variables are expensive)

• Callbacks, closures and recursion are generally cheap (when using LuaJIT)

• Do not mix string and number keys in tables, that makes them hard to iterate

• `ipairs` and `pairs` are not equal

• Strings are `constant` in LUA
Regexp rules

Types

• Can work with the following elements:
  • Headers: Message-Id=/^something$/H
  • Mime parts: /some word/P
  • Raw messages: /some pattern/M
  • URLs: /example.com/U

• Some new flags are added:
  • UTF8 flag: /u
Regexp rules

Generic information

• Can be combined using the following operators:
  
  • **AND**: /something/P && Subject=/some/H
  
  • **OR**: /something/P || Subject=/some/H
  
  • **NOT**: !/something/P
  
  • **PLUS**: /A/P + /B/P + /C/P >= 2

• Priority goes as following: NOT ➡ AND ➡ OR ➡ PLUS

• Braces can change priority: !A AND (B OR C)
Regexp rules
Performance considerations

• Avoid message regexps at any cost (use trie instead)

• Regexp expressions are highly optimised in rspamd and unnecessary evaluations are not performed

• UTF regexps are more expensive than default ones (but could be useful sometimes)

• **Always** use the appropriate type of expression (e.g. url for links and part for textual content)
Trie matching

• Perfect for fast raw message and text pattern matching

• Scales almost linearly from input size (*aho-coraslic* algorithm)

• Can handle thousands and hundreds thousands patterns (is a base for all antivirus scanners)

• Highly optimised for 64 bits systems